

## REMARKS

The above-identified Office Action included an objection to the drawings and disclosure. In response the applicant hereby submits a proposed drawing correction and amendment to the specification. In view of the foregoing, the applicant respectfully request entry of the amendment and withdrawal of the objections to the drawings and disclosure.

### The Objection to the Drawings

In the above-identified Office Action, the Examiner approved the proposed drawing corrections received by the USPTO on March 6, 2003. However, the Examiner further objected to the drawings as the leader for reference numeral "35" appeared misdirected in Fig. 2, and because the cross-hatching was inaccurate as to the material of the bellows in Fig. 3. Proposed corrections to resolve these problems have been attached hereto. It is respectfully requested that the proposed drawing corrections be approved.

### The Objection to the Disclosure

Also in the above-identified Office Action, the Examiner objected to the disclosure because on Page 5, line 19 and Page 6, line 20, the descriptions of Fig. 4 were inaccurate. In addition the Examiner stated that Figs. 4a-4e lacked a brief description. In response, the applicant has eliminated the current Fig. 4 and all reference to it. Fig. 4 was an extraneous figure that does not add to the understanding of the invention as described in the specification. Thus, no new matter is introduced by its elimination. Original Fig. 5 was also made the new Fig. 4 to maintain the number sequence of the drawings.

Respectfully submitted,



Richard T. Lyon

Registration No. 37,385  
Attorney for Applicant

LYON & HARR, LLP  
300 Esplanade Drive, Suite 800  
Oxnard, CA 93036  
(805) 278-8855



Approved  
11/3/03




Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3